

From: [Bryce Sheehan](#)
To: [LRreview](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] - Consultation on .au Licensing Rules Review Online Submission
Date: Friday, 10 July 2026 8:08:08 PM

I strongly back Option 3 to delete sub-paragraph (f). The current rules let speculators treat the .au namespace like a speculative asset rather than a shared utility.

Domains are a finite resource: Because language is limited, good web addresses are a finite public commons. Much like public radio airwaves, they should be open and accessible for actual use, not locked up for private profit.

It is digital land banking: Sitting on domains under the guise of "services" or "synonyms" is no different from hoarding prime physical land just to watch the value go up. It forces genuine Australian businesses out of the best names, adding zero productivity to the economy.

The timing is right: Australia is already shifting away from rewarding passive wealth, seen in changing attitudes toward property tax perks and stamp duty. The digital world should follow suit.

Getting rid of section (f) is the right call to clean up the .au space and look after the digital commons for everyday Australians.

--

Bryce Sheehan

ctrl_design

automation · integration · development

