

Date	Survey Respondent	Draft Recommendation 1A	Draft Recommendation 1B
	Full Name:		
18/10/2012	VentralIP Group (Australia) Pty Ltd	VentralIP agrees that the current registry model should be retained and renegotiations should be undertaken with AusRegistry to extend the contractual arrangements for 4 years to ensure stability within the registry. As an auDA accredited registrar, we have found the service that AusRegistry provides to be a cut-above the rest and any form of re-negotiation with AusRegistry should be taken seriously. The auDA Board should not commit to undertaking a formal RFT process at the end of the renegotiated period, however we believe that each time a contract expires, a re-negotiation should take place with AusRegistry. One key point of discussion in this process should be the associated fees for creates, renewals and transfers which should be re-evaluated based on the current cost of delivering these services (as the costs would have to decrease based on volume, experience and the potential costs saved by not undertaking a formal RFT process).	VentralIP agrees that a single registry should be retained for all existing .au 2LDS with no option for introduction of multiple registries in the future. Negotiations should be given to the current registry operator, with failure of negotiations opening it up to other registry providers. We believe, however, that discussions for opening up the .au 2TLD should be considered for a future names panel.
17/10/2012	Shane Moore		
13/10/2012	Ivan Jakovac		
8/10/2012	Peter ng		
8/10/2012	Thomas Deeney	a) agreed	agreed
8/10/2012	Scott Nugent	Competitive registry model should be scrapped to make way for an open and equal domain platform. However copyright and trademark issues should remain at the forefront of auDA's concerns.	Should remain an option for future. Don't want to put "all eggs in one basket" to use a cliché
18/09/2012	tim connell	no comment	no comment
18/09/2012	Richard Green	From all reports AusRegistry has been doing an adequate job, so given the costs associated with switching to another registry operator, I think in the short term the contact with AusRegistry should be renegotiated with the aim of undertaking a full tender process in a few years. If renegotiations fail, then yes auDA conduct should conduct a formal RFT process.	Multiple registries seems unnecessary at this stage
18/09/2012	Donald Rankin	a) the competitive registry model should be retained	no comment
18/09/2012	Ian Piggott		
15/09/2012	jade reeves		
14/09/2012	Lisa Hechtl	I am in favour of the current model and the plans to re-negotiate with AusRegistry.	I am in favour of maintaining a single registry into the future.

Date	Survey Respondent	Draft Recommendation 2A	Draft Recommendation 2B	Draft Recommendation 2C	Draft Recommendation 2D
	Full Name:				
18/10/2012	VentralP Group (Australia) Pty Ltd	VentralP believes that the fees for initial registrar accreditation should be updated to reflect the direct costs in the initial accreditation process (the additional costs for new registrars to undertake the auDA Information Security Standard).	VentralP agrees that that the current requirements for ASIC and ATO registration for overseas-based registrars should be retained, and that overseas-based registrars should bear the reasonable costs of a site visit by an auDA staff member during provisional accreditation.	VentralP believes that the requirement for applicants for registrar accreditation to act as a reseller of another registrar for a proposed 12 months AND show they can demonstrate reasonable industry practice.	VentralP agrees that the registrar accreditation process and criteria should be the same for all applicants, regardless of their proposed business model.
17/10/2012	Shane Moore				
13/10/2012	Ivan Jakovac				
8/10/2012	Peter ng				
8/10/2012	Thomas Deeney	agreed	a) agreed b) agreed in principal that "cost" is fair.	agreed	The accreditation process should be points based. Points awarded or attained for meeting set criteria's. Can only apply if attained enough "points"
8/10/2012	Scott Nugent	Registrar costs should not be exorbitant for purposes of screening however criteria should remain strong.	Agree.	Disagree- if infrastructure can be shown and tested with non-live data, new large registrars should be permitted. Saves drama for registrar and customer in future.	Agree.
18/09/2012	tim connell	agree	agree	agree	no comment
18/09/2012	Richard Green	Agreed. Given the security breaches of the last few years, the standards for new applicants should be raised.	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree
18/09/2012	Donald Rankin	yes	yes	yes	yes
18/09/2012	Ian Piggott				
15/09/2012	jade reeves				
14/09/2012	Lisa Hechtl	This makes perfect sense.	I support these recommendations.	Absolutely.	Definitely.

Date	Survey Respondent	Draft Recommendation 3
	Full Name:	
18/10/2012	VentralP Group (Australia) Pty Ltd	VentralP welcomes the introduction of the auDA Information Security Standard (ISS) as we believe it's a great step forward in terms of security and procedures for critical Australian infrastructure.
17/10/2012	Shane Moore	
13/10/2012	Ivan Jakovac	
8/10/2012	Peter ng	
8/10/2012	Thomas Deeney	no comment, I don't know enough about the subject matter to pass comment.
8/10/2012	Scott Nugent	Agree
18/09/2012	tim connell	agree
18/09/2012	Richard Green	Strongly agree
18/09/2012	Donald Rankin	yes
18/09/2012	Ian Piggott	
15/09/2012	jade reeves	
14/09/2012	Lisa Hechtl	Yes, this is an important standard and should be enforced.

Date	Survey Respondent	Draft Recommendation 4A	Draft Recommendation 4B	Draft Recommendation 4C
	Full Name:			
18/10/2012	VentralP Group (Australia) Pty Ltd	VentralP agrees that the current .au industry model for auDA, registrar and reseller inter-relationships should be retained.	VentralP welcomes the introduction of a standardised agreement template for registrars to use as a basis for their reseller contracts, but believes that registrars should be able to modify and build upon the template based around their business operations.	VentralP welcomes the recommendation for auDA to develop and implement a system for adding a reseller in the registry database with a contact object that can be assigned to any domain names that are under their control. We believe that auDA should be responsible for managing this mechanism and recording resellers in an official stance in that regard.
17/10/2012	Shane Moore			
13/10/2012	Ivan Jakovac			
8/10/2012	Peter ng			
8/10/2012	Thomas Deeney	agreed	agreed	do not agree. If this was to be implimented it shuold be on a pay as you go basis. Much like ASIC ABN searches, limited info should be free, further info should be charged and only accessable by registered agents ie registrars
8/10/2012	Scott Nugent	Agree	Agree, will be very helpful and be a win-win.	Agree 100%. .au namespace is used in this manner and hence should be allowed. HOWEVER, this will also mean some policy changes in regards to the market model and restrictions on AU domains
18/09/2012	tim connell	i want freedom to choose who my domain name is held with. If there service standard or fees change then i should be able to change, just like a mobile phone that is not contracted.	no comment	i agree
18/09/2012	Richard Green	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree
18/09/2012	Donald Rankin	yes	yes	yes
18/09/2012	Ian Piggott			
15/09/2012	jade reeves			
14/09/2012	Lisa Hechtl	Yes, the current model works well.	This is an excellent proposal.	As someone who deals with the domain owners on a daily basis I see the great benefit in providing this information in the database and easily accessible through a Whois enquiry.

Date	Survey Respondent	Draft Recommendation 5A	Draft Recommendation 5B	Draft Recommendation 5C
	Full Name:			
18/10/2012	VentralP Group (Australia) Pty Ltd	VentralP agrees that no changes should be made to the current .au transfer authorisation process.	VentralP welcomes the introduction of a bulk registrar transfer with auDA approval in the case of mergers, acquisitions and special occasions, and that auDA should ensure the process includes appropriate registrant and registra protections.	VentralP welcomes the approval of bulk reseller transfers with auDA approval, pending that appropriate registrar and registrant protections are put in place.
17/10/2012	Shane Moore			
13/10/2012	Ivan Jakovac			
8/10/2012	Peter ng			
8/10/2012	Thomas Deeney	agreed	agreed	agreed
8/10/2012	Scott Nugent	Agree	Agree	Agree
18/09/2012	tim connell	i'm happy with it, although some domain registration companies make it very difficult to find the auth code, simply by the way their site is designed but i guess you can't prevent that.	i agree	i agree
18/09/2012	Richard Green	Agreed, no change needed. Transfers should be speedy, but retain some safeguards.	No comment	No comment
18/09/2012	Donald Rankin	yes	yes	yes
18/09/2012	Ian Piggott			
15/09/2012	jade reeves			
14/09/2012	Lisa Hechtl	The current transfer process for .au domains is acceptable. Not all registrars comply with it.	Yes please. As a reseller of Distribute IT, I see the incredible benefit of this.	Absolutely.

Date	Survey Respondent	Draft Recommendation 6
	Full Name:	
18/10/2012	VentralP Group (Australia) Pty Ltd	VentralP believes that auDA assume responsibility for facilitating regular review and updating of the .au Domain Name Suppliers' Code of Practice in consultation with relevant stakeholders in the industry.
17/10/2012	Shane Moore	
13/10/2012	Ivan Jakovac	
8/10/2012	Peter ng	
8/10/2012	Thomas Deeney	agreed
8/10/2012	Scott Nugent	Agree - this is why we have auDA
18/09/2012	tim connell	i agree but "relevant stakeholders" is a very loose term, thats like saying " i'll be there soon..." , i think this needs to be more detailed as to who are the "relevant stakeholders"
18/09/2012	Richard Green	Agreed
18/09/2012	Donald Rankin	yes
18/09/2012	Ian Piggott	
15/09/2012	jade reeves	
14/09/2012	Lisa Hechtl	Definitely.